

Scorza varieties and Jordan algebras

Pierre-Emmanuel Chaput
chaput@ujf-grenoble.fr

April 2002

Abstract

In his book [7], F.L. Zak defines and classifies Scorza varieties. A k -Scorza variety is by definition an irreducible smooth complex projective variety, of maximal dimension among those whose $(k - 1)$ -secant variety is not all of the ambient space (a precise definition will be given in the second section). Let me also recall that the Jordan algebras are the commutative but not necessarily associative algebras in which the relation $A * (B * A^2) = (A * B) * A^2$ holds. A classical theorem (cf [4] for instance) tells us that the simple real Jordan algebras of rank greater or equal to 3 (the rank is the generic dimension of the subalgebra generated by one element) are the algebras of Hermitian matrices, with entries in a real normed algebra ($\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{H}$ or $\mathbb{O}$), the product being defined by $A * B = 1/2(AB + BA)$ (if the rank is greater or equal to 4, this normed algebra cannot be the octonions; otherwise the algebra is not a Jordan algebra). The complex Jordan algebras are the complexifications of real Jordan algebras. From Zak's classification theorem we see that there is a very strong link between Scorza varieties and Jordan algebras:

Theorem 0.1 (Zak) *The k -Scorza varieties are the projectivizations of the varieties of rank 1 matrices in the simple Jordan algebras of rank $k + 1$.*

However, this link, as well as the fact that Scorza varieties are homogeneous, meaning that their automorphism group acts transitively, is not explained by the geometric proof of F.L. Zak. In [2], I have studied a particular case of this theorem, namely the 2-Scorza varieties which are also called Severi varieties, and which have been studied quite a lot because they are the limiting case of a conjecture by Hartshorne, proved by F.L. Zak. I have shown how it is possible to adapt Zak's proof so as to get the homogeneity, and to conclude the proof more easily. The link with Jordan algebra was nevertheless not explained in this paper. Here, I wish to give two simple explanations of this link, using firstly an old result of McCrimmon[6] and secondly facts concerning prehomogeneous symmetric spaces [1].

I wish to thank warmly L. Manivel for helping me on this subject, as well as W. Bertram and F.L. Zak for precise explanations.

AMS mathematical classification : 14M07, 14M17, 14E07.

Key-words: Severi, Scorza variety, secant variety, Jordan algebras, projective geometry.

1 Scorza and Severi varieties

In this section, I recall definitions and results from Zak's book. Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ be a complex projective variety. Let's denote by $S^k X$ the closure of the union of all $\mathbf{P}^k$'s containing $k+1$ linearly independent points of X . With this notation we have $S^0 X = X$ and $S^1 X = \text{Sec}(X)$, the secant of X . If X is non degenerate, that is included in no hyperplane, we will call $k_0(X)$ the least integer k such that $S^k X = \mathbf{P}^N$. In the sequel, all varieties will be supposed to be non degenerate.

When X and Y have dimension respectively n and p , we expect the join between these two varieties (the closure of the union of lines through a point of X and a point of Y), denoted by $S(X, Y)$, to have dimension $n+p+1$. Let's denote by $\delta(X, Y)$ and call 'defect of X and Y ' the difference between this expected dimension and the true dimension: $\delta(X, Y) := n + p + 1 - \dim S(X, Y)$. F.L. Zak introduces for an arbitrary variety X the defect of X and its secants $\delta_i := \delta(X, S^{i-1} X) = \dim X + \dim S^{i-1} X + 1 - \dim S^i X$ and calls $\delta := \delta_1$. An important result about these defects is theorem 1.8 of his fifth chapter ([7] p.109):

Theorem 1.1 (Zak) *If $1 \leq i \leq k_0$, then $\delta_i \geq \delta_{i-1} + \delta$.*

Corollary 1.2 (Zak) $k_0 \leq \frac{n}{\delta}$.

F.L. Zak then defines Scorza varieties to be the limiting cases of these theorems:

Definition 1.1 (Scorza varieties) *A variety $X^n \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ is Scorza if it is irreducible smooth non degenerate and that:*

- $k_0 = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{\delta} \right\rfloor$.
- $\delta_i = i\delta$.

Remark : This definition involves the unusual numbers δ_i . But F.L. Zak shows that if n is a multiple of δ , then the conditions $\delta_i = i\delta$ are automatically fulfilled as soon as the first one, equivalent to the fact that $S^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{\delta} \right\rfloor - 1} X \neq \mathbf{P}^N$, is. On the other hand, during his proof of the classification, he explains that his theorem in the case δ dividing n implies that there is no other Scorza varieties. In this article, I will suppose that this condition holds.

I call k -Scorza variety any Scorza variety such that $k_0 = k$. For any $l < k$ and $P \in S^l X - S^{l-1} X$, let L_P denote the closure of the set of points Q in $S^l X$ such that $T_Q S^l X = T_P S^l X$ and Q_P the closure of the points Q in X such that the line (PQ) cuts $S^{l-1} X$ at some point different from Q .

The first step of the proof of the classification theorem is the fact that a k -Scorza variety is made of $(k-1)$ -Scorza varieties:

Theorem 1.3 (Zak) *Let $X^n \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ be any k -Scorza variety. Let l be an integer such that $1 \leq l \leq k-1$ and P a generic point in $S^l X$. Then L_P is linear, $Q_P \subset L_P$, and Q_P is an l -Scorza variety in L_P . Finally, $\dim Q_P = l\delta$ and $\dim L_P = l(1 + \frac{\delta(l+1)}{2})$.*

Remark : I am going to explain why Scorza varieties live in Jordan algebras. In these algebras, the numbers k and δ have a nice interpretation, as well as the previous theorem. In this case $\mathbf{P}^N$ is the projectivised space of complexified $(k+1) \times (k+1)$ Hermitian matrices with entries in a normed algebra. The dimension of this normed algebra is δ (hence δ may only assume the values 1,2,4 or 8). If P is the usual rank $(l+1)$ matrix ($P = \begin{pmatrix} I_{l+1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$), then L_P is the linear space of matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, with an arbitrary bloc of dimension $(l+1) \times (l+1)$, and Q_P is the subset of rank 1 matrices. We thus see that Q_P is a l -Scorza variety.

Lastly, in the sequel, I will use the following two lemmas, which hold in any k -Scorza variety X :

Lemma 1.1 ([7],p.127) $S^{k-1}X$ cannot be a cone.

Lemma 1.2 ([7],p.136) $S^{k-1}X$ is a hypersurface of degree $k+1$.

2 Identifying the Jordan algebra

This section explains the link between Scorza varieties and Jordan algebras using McCrimmon's theorem 1.2 p.937 in [6]. To state this result, I will need to introduce some notations: if Q is *any* non-vanishing homogeneous form of degree q on a linear space V , let G be the rational morphism defined by

$$\begin{aligned} G : V &\rightarrow V^* \\ M &\mapsto Q(M, \dots, M, \cdot) / Q(M) \end{aligned}$$

($Q(A_1, \dots, A_q)$ denotes the polarisation of Q , that is the only q -linear symmetric form such that $Q(M, \dots, M) = Q(M)$). Let also denote by τ_M , for any $M \in V$ the linear map $-D_M G$ (that is minus the differential of G at the point M). For I fixed such that $Q(I) = 1$, τ_I induces a bilinear symmetric form on V , and we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A, B \rangle &= -D_I G(A).B = -D_I G(B).A \\ &= qQ(I, \dots, I, A)Q(I, \dots, I, B) - (q-1)Q(I, \dots, I, A, B) \end{aligned}$$

We may also see that the expression $D_I G(A).B$ is symmetric in A and B by noting that it is the second differential, evaluated in I on A and B , of $\frac{1}{q} \log[Q(M)]$. If τ_I is non-degenerate, we define for all A the linear map H_A by the relation $\tau_A(B, C) = \tau_I(H_A B, C)$.

When V is a Jordan algebra, I its identity and Q its determinant, it is easily seen that τ_I is non-degenerate and that $\langle A, B \rangle$ equals $\text{tr}(A * B)$. On the other hand, via this duality, $G(M)$ identifies with the inverse of M . Finally, $H_A B = -D_A G(B)$ identifies with $A^{-1} B A^{-1}$, so that $Q[H_A(B)] = Q(A)^{-2} Q(B)$. The following result gives the reverse implication:

Theorem 2.1 (McCrimmon) *Let Q be a q -form on a linear space V and I a point in V such that $Q(I) = 1$, τ_I is non-degenerate and $Q(H_A B) = h(A)Q(B)$ for a rational function h , as soon as the two members are defined. Then V can be endowed with a Jordan algebra structure where I is the identity by setting $A * B = -\frac{\partial}{2\partial A|_I} H_A(B)$.*

Moreover, he shows that this algebra is semi-simple. Here is the definition of this property: in an algebra, we define the trace of an element to be the trace of the multiplication by this element. Let $\text{tr}(M)$ denote this number. This linear form yields a bilinear one by the formula $(A, B) = \text{tr}(A * B)$. An algebra is said to be semi-simple if this bilinear form is non-degenerate. In our case, it will also follow from a very simple argument that our algebra is semi-simple.

We are going to apply theorem 2.1 to $V = \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ and Q one equation of the $(k-1)$ -ith secant of our Scorza variety X . To this end, the main step is to show the following proposition:

Proposition 2.2 *If G and τ are defined like previously, then for $A \notin S^{k-1}X$, τ_A is a linear isomorphism between $\mathbf{P}V$ and $\mathbf{P}V^*$ which maps X onto $Y := (S^{k-1}X)^*$.*

In this proposition, I have denoted, for $Z \subset \mathbf{P}V$ a projective variety, $Z^* \subset \mathbf{P}V^*$ its dual variety, that is the closure for the Zariski topology of the set of all hyperplanes tangent in one smooth point of Z .

Remark : A consequence of this proposition is that $Y \simeq X$ is a k -Scorza variety in $\mathbf{P}V^*$. We may already prove that X and Y have the same dimension: in fact the closure of the preimage of $T_P S^{k-1}X$ for generic P in $S^{k-1}X$ by the map $P \mapsto T_P S^{k-1}X$ is L_P , of dimension $(k-1)(1 + \frac{\delta k}{2})$ by theorem 1.3. Thus the image of this application, Y , must be of dimension $k(1 + \frac{\delta(k+1)}{2}) - 1 - (k-1)(1 + \frac{\delta k}{2}) = k\delta = n$.

Proof : This proof is only a generalisation of the proof I gave in [2] for the Severi case. Whenever $Z \subset \mathbf{P}V$ is a projective variety, let $\widehat{Z} \subset V$ denote its cone.

Firstly let $A_1, \dots, A_k$ be k elements in $\widehat{X}$. Then $A_1 + \dots + A_k$ is in $\widehat{S^{k-1}X}$, so $Q(A_1 + \dots + A_k) = 0$. Expanding this expression, we deduce that $Q(A_1, A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots, A_k) = 0$ (cf lemma 1.2). But this formula is linear in $A_i, i \geq 2$, so it remains true if $A_i, i \geq 2$ are arbitrary elements of V since X is non-degenerate. This remark will lead to a geometric interpretation of $\tau_A(x)$, for $x \in X$ and $A \notin S^{k-1}X$ such that $Q(x, A, \dots, A) \neq 0$. In fact the line (xA) cuts again $S^{k-1}X$ in exactly one point, $x' = A - \frac{Q(A)}{qQ(x, A, \dots, A)}x$. The tangent hyperplane at x' to $S^{k-1}X$ is then:

$$Q(A, \dots, A, \cdot) - \frac{(q-1)Q(A)}{qQ(x, A, \dots, A)}Q(x, A, \dots, A, \cdot) = 0$$

which is $\tau_A(x)$ up to a constant. So we have proved that $\tau_A(X) \subset Y$. But these two varieties X and Y have the same dimension; since Y is irreducible, to see that τ_A is onto it is enough to check that it is generically finite. This is a consequence of the given geometric interpretation and of the

Lemma 2.1 *Let $A \in V$ and $P \in S^{k-1}X$ be generic points (in the sense of theorem 1.3) such that $A \notin L_P$. Then $X \cap (L_P + A) - L_P$ is finite.*

Proof : In the linear space $M = L_P + A$, Q_P is a $(k-1)$ -Scorza variety (theorem [7]); so let B be the hypersurface of L_P equal to $S^{k-2}Q_P$ and let $\mathcal{C}(S^{k-1}X \cap M)$ be the set of irreducible components of $S^{k-1}X \cap M$, then we have an application:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : (M - L_P) \cap X &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S^{k-1}X \cap M) \\ x &\mapsto S(x, B) \end{aligned}$$

Let's recall that $S(x, B)$ is the cone with vertex x and basis B . If we suppose by induction that the classification theorem is proved for the $(k-1)$ -Scorza variety Q_P , then Q_P identifies with the projective variety of rank 1 matrices and B with that of rank at most $k-1$ matrices. Let me also denote by $Sing^i B$ the set of matrices with rank at most $k-1-i$, so that the singular locus of $Sing^i B$ is $Sing^{i+1} B$ and that $Sing^0 B = B$. We then get that the application ϕ is injective since the singular locus of $S(x, B)$ is $S(x, Sing^1 B)$, so that if $S(x, B) = S(x', B)$ then $S(x, Sing^i B) = S(x', Sing^i B)$ for all i ; $i = k-2$ yields $S(x, Q_P) = S(x', Q_P)$ and since Q_P is smooth, $x = x'$.

•

Remark : These isomorphisms $D_A G$ will play an essential role in the sequel. In a Jordan algebra, we have $-D_{A^{-1}} G(B) = ABA$. This expression is a classical one in the theory of Jordan algebra; the linear application $B \mapsto ABA$ is often denoted by $P(A)$ and called the quadratic representation. If $M(A)$ denotes the endomorphism of the Jordan algebra equal to the multiplication by A , P satisfies the identity $P(A) = 2M(A)^2 - M(A^2)$ and is involved in numerous important theorems: see for example theorem 4 p.57 in [5] and theorem 2.6 p.47 in [1].

Corollary 2.3 *X is homogeneous.*

Proof : Let $A, B \in \mathbf{P}V - S^{k-1}X$. Since τ_A and τ_B are isomorphisms between X and Y , $\tau_A^{-1} \circ \tau_B$ is an automorphism of X . Therefore it is enough to check that if U and W are elements in X , there are some $A, B \in \mathbf{P}V - S^{k-1}X$ such that $\tau_A(U) = \tau_B(W)$. But if P is such that U and W do not belong to $T_P S^{k-1}X$, then it is not possible that $L_P + U \subset S^{k-1}X$ since in that case $U \in T_P S^{k-1}X$. Let then $A \in (L_P + U) - L_P - S^{k-1}X$ and $B \in (L_P + W) - L_P - S^{k-1}X$; by the previous geometric interpretation, since the lines (AU) and (BW) cut $S^{k-1}X$ at some point in L_P , we have $\tau_A(U) = \tau_B(W) = T_P S^{k-1}X$.

•

It is now possible to complete the proof of the classification of Scorza varieties in the case where δ divides n : let $I \in V$ such that $Q(I) = 1$ and $A \in V - \widehat{S^{k-1}X}$. Since τ_I and τ_A are isomorphisms between $S^{k-1}X$ and $S^{k-1}Y$, $H_A = \tau_I^{-1} \circ \tau_A$ is an automorphism of $S^{k-1}X$, and we can deduce the existence of a rational function h such that $Q(H_A B) = h(A)Q(B)$. Although this is not necessary; we can compute h : in fact it is defined out of $\{Q = 0\}$, and, when defined, since H_A is a linear isomorphism, never

vanishes. Counting degrees and taking into account the fact that $h(I) = 1$, we can deduce that $h(A) = Q(A)^{-2}$. Theorem 2.1 then implies that V can be equipped with a Jordan algebra structure such that I is an identity. Since this algebra is semi-simple, it is a direct sum of simple ones, and Q is the product of the determinants on each summand; since Q is irreducible, this algebra is in fact simple. We thus have proved:

Theorem 2.4 (Zak) *The k -Scorza varieties are the projective varieties of rank 1 matrices in the complexifications of the real Jordan algebras of $(k+1) * (k+1)$ Hermitian matrices with entries in one of the four real normed algebras (if $k > 2$ the octonions are not allowed).*

Remark : In this theorem, nothing distinguishes the 'exceptional' Jordan algebra $J_3(\mathbb{O})$ from the others.

I now want to give a proof, different from McCrimmon's and very simple, of the fact that the Jordan algebra is semi-simple. To this end, let's definitively identify V and its dual towards the isomorphism τ_I and let's begin computing the product: first of all, the relation

$$-D_I G(A) = A = (k+1)Q(I, \dots, I, A)I - kQ(I, \dots, I, A, \cdot) \quad (1)$$

yields $Q(I, \dots, I, A, \cdot) = \frac{1}{k}[(k+1)Q(I, \dots, I, A)I - A]$.

Since $D_A G(B) = \frac{kQ(A, \dots, A, B, \cdot)}{Q(A)} - \frac{(k+1)Q(A, \dots, A, B)}{Q(A)^2}Q(A, \dots, A, \cdot)$, we may then compute that

$$\begin{aligned} A * B &= \frac{k(k-1)}{2}Q(I, \dots, I, A, B, \cdot) \\ &\quad - \frac{(k+1)k}{2}[Q(I, \dots, I, A)Q(I, \dots, I, B, \cdot) + Q(I, \dots, I, B)Q(I, \dots, I, A, \cdot)] \\ &\quad + [(k+1)^2Q(I, \dots, I, A)Q(I, \dots, I, B) - \frac{(k+1)k}{2}Q(I, \dots, I, A, B)]I \\ &= \frac{k(k-1)}{2}Q(I, \dots, I, A, B, \cdot) - \frac{k+1}{2}Q(I, \dots, I, A)[(k+1)Q(I, \dots, I, B)I - B] \\ &\quad - \frac{k+1}{2}Q(I, \dots, I, B)[(k+1)Q(I, \dots, I, A)I - A] \\ &\quad + [(k+1)^2Q(I, \dots, I, A)Q(I, \dots, I, B) - \frac{(k+1)k}{2}Q(I, \dots, I, A, B)]I \\ &= \frac{k(k-1)}{2}Q(I, \dots, I, A, B, \cdot) + \frac{k+1}{2}[Q(I, \dots, I, A)B + Q(I, \dots, I, B)A] \\ &\quad - \frac{k(k+1)}{2}Q(I, \dots, I, A, B)I \end{aligned}$$

In particular, I is indeed an identity for this product:

Lemma 2.2 $I * A = A$.

To prove that our algebra is semi-simple, it is enough to show that $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ are colinear, since we already know that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is non-degenerate. This can be managed in two steps:

Lemma 2.3 $tr(M) = \frac{(k+1)(2+k\delta)}{2}Q(I, \dots, I, M)$.

Proof : Let us consider the rational function $M \mapsto \det(-D_M G)$. This is defined away from $\{Q = 0\}$ and by the following proposition 2.2, it never vanishes; moreover it has degree $-(k+1)(2+k\delta)$. Thus $\det(-D_M G)$ and $Q(M)^{-(2+k\delta)}$ are colinear. Since moreover $-D_I G \simeq Id$, $\det(-D_M G) = Q(M)^{-(2+k\delta)}$. Differentiating this equality yields the lemma.

Lemma 2.4 $\langle A, B \rangle = Q(I, \dots, I, A * B)$.

Proof : First notice that since $Q(I, \dots, I, \cdot) = -D_I G(I) = I$, we have the relation $Q[I, \dots, I, Q(I, \dots, I, A, B, \cdot)] = Q(I, \dots, I, A, B)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Q(I, \dots, I, A * B) &= \frac{k(k-1)}{2} Q[I, \dots, I, Q(I, \dots, I, A, B, \cdot)] \\ &\quad + \frac{k+1}{2} Q(I, \dots, I, A) Q(I, \dots, I, B) \\ &\quad + \frac{k+1}{2} Q(I, \dots, I, B) Q(I, \dots, I, A) - \frac{k(k+1)}{2} Q(I, \dots, I, A, B) \\ &= (k+1) Q(I, \dots, I, A) Q(I, \dots, I, B) - k Q(I, \dots, I, A, B) \end{aligned}$$

•

From proposition 2.2 we can also deduce a geometric construction of the product of two elements in X :

Proposition 2.5 *Let A and B be two elements of $\widehat{X}$. Then $A * B$ is the orthogonal projection of $[(k+1)^2 Q(I, \dots, I, A) Q(I, \dots, I, B) - \frac{k(k+1)}{2} Q(I, \dots, I, A, B)] I$ on $T_A \widehat{X} \cap T_B \widehat{X}$.*

For two generic $A, B \in X$, $T_A \widehat{X} \cap T_B \widehat{X}$ does not meet its orthogonal space (this can be checked using the classification), so that the map 'orthogonal projection on $A, B \in X$, $T_A \widehat{X} \cap T_B \widehat{X}$ ' is defined generically.

Remark : For two generic elements A and B , and P generic on the line (AB) , we have by Terracini's lemma $T_A \widehat{X} + T_B \widehat{X} = T_P \widehat{S^1 X}$, so that the vector space $T_A \widehat{X} \cap T_B \widehat{X}$ has dimension δ .

Proof : This product $A * B$ is by definition colinear with the derivative at I in the direction A of the function $\tau_A(B)$. Moreover when A equals I , this element is B , and it is in any case an element of X . This proves that $A * B$ belongs to the tangent space $T_B \widehat{X}$. By symmetry, it is also in $T_A \widehat{X}$.

For $U \in T_A X \cap T_B X$, let us compute the scalar product $\langle U, A * B \rangle$. As we have seen that the elements A of X satisfy $Q(A, A, \cdot, \dots, \cdot) = 0$; and since $U \in T_A X \cap T_B X$, then $Q(A, U, \cdot, \dots, \cdot) = Q(B, U, \cdot, \dots, \cdot) = 0$. Then $\langle A, U \rangle = (k+1) Q(I, \dots, I, A) Q(I, \dots, I, U)$. We deduce:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U, A * B \rangle &= \langle U, \frac{k(k-1)}{2} Q(I, \dots, I, A, B, \cdot) \\ &\quad + \frac{k+1}{2} [Q(I, \dots, I, A) B + Q(I, \dots, I, B) A] - \frac{k(k+1)}{2} Q(I, \dots, I, A, B) I \rangle \\ &= (k+1)^2 Q(I, \dots, I, A) Q(I, \dots, I, B) Q(I, \dots, I, U) \\ &\quad - \frac{k(k+1)}{2} Q(I, \dots, I, A, B) Q(I, \dots, I, U) \\ &= \langle U, [(k+1)^2 Q(I, \dots, I, A) Q(I, \dots, I, B) - \frac{k(k+1)}{2} Q(I, \dots, I, A, B)] I \rangle \end{aligned}$$

•

For fixed $A \in X$, this proposition only defines M_A on X up to a constant, but then it does not define M_A on V , even up to a constant. The following lemma and proposition enable us to give a precise geometric definition of the product.

Lemma 2.5 *Let V and W be vector spaces; $\varphi, \psi : \mathbf{P}V \rightarrow \mathbf{P}W$ linear maps and $X \subset \mathbf{P}V$ a non-degenerate irreducible variety. Suppose that the projective applications $\varphi, \psi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}W$ are the same and that $\ker \widehat{\varphi} = \ker \widehat{\psi}$. Then φ and ψ are projectively equal.*

$\widehat{\varphi}$ and $\widehat{\psi}$ are the linear maps $V \rightarrow W$ corresponding to φ and ψ .

Remark : Be careful that we make the strong hypothesis that $\widehat{\varphi}$ and $\widehat{\psi}$ have the same kernel in V , and not only in $\widehat{X}$.

Proof : We have $\text{Im } \widehat{\varphi} = \langle \widehat{\varphi}(\widehat{X}) \rangle = \langle \widehat{\psi}(\widehat{X}) \rangle = \text{Im } \widehat{\psi} =: I$ and by hypothesis $\ker \widehat{\varphi} = \ker \widehat{\psi} =: K$. Thus there exists $A \in GL(I)$ such that $\widehat{\psi} = A\widehat{\varphi}$. In fact if V' is a complementary space of K in V and φ', ψ' are the isomorphisms of V' with I such that, on $V = K \oplus V'$, we have $\widehat{\varphi} = (0, \varphi')$ and $\widehat{\psi} = (0, \psi')$, it is enough to set $A = \psi' \circ \varphi'^{-1}$. Now, since φ and ψ are equal on X , $\psi(X)$ only contains eigenvectors of A . But since $\psi(X)$ is irreducible and non-degenerate in I , A has only one eigenspace, I ; it is thus a multiple of the identity and φ et ψ are projectively equal.

•

Proposition 2.6 *Let $A \in X, B \in \mathbf{P}V$. Then $A * B = 0$ if and only if $B \in \Sigma_{A'}$.*

This proposition and the previous one define, according to the previous lemma, the algebra structure.

Proof : By proposition 2.2, for $B \notin S^{k-1}X$, to construct $\tau_B(A)$, we first need to construct the intersection point A'' of the line (AB) with $S^{k-1}X$, and then say that $\tau_B(A)$ is the hyperplane $T_{A''}S^{k-1}X$. Similarly, $\tau_I(A)$ is the hyperplane $T_{A'}S^{k-1}X$, where A' is the intersection point of the line (IA) with $S^{k-1}X$. Thus, we have $Q(B).A \parallel A$ if and only if these two hyperplanes are the same, which means that $\Sigma_{A''} = \Sigma_{A'}$ or $A'' \in \Sigma_{A'}$, or thus that $B \in \langle \Sigma_{A'}, I \rangle$. We thus have proved

$$Q(B).A \parallel A \iff B \in \langle \Sigma_{A'}, I \rangle$$

Taking the tangent spaces to these varieties at I , we deduce

$$A * B \parallel A \iff B \in \langle \Sigma_{A'}, I \rangle$$

Suppose now that $\langle A, I \rangle \neq 0$. Then we have $\langle \Sigma_{A'}, I \rangle \cap A^\perp = \Sigma_{A'}$. In fact, these two sets are hyperplanes in $\langle \Sigma_{A'}, I \rangle$ and we always have $\Sigma_{A'} \subset A^\perp$, since $A^\perp = T_{A'}S^{k-1}X$ by definition of the scalar product. Moreover, since it is clear that if $A * B = 0$, then $\langle A, B \rangle = \langle A * B, I \rangle = 0$ (lemma 2.4), we thus have for generic A :

$\{B : A * B = 0\} = \Sigma_{A'}$. For A such that $\langle A, I \rangle = 0$, $\{B : A * B = 0\}$ is again a hyperplane in $\{B : A * B \parallel A\} = \langle \Sigma_{A'}, I \rangle$, by continuity it is still $\Sigma_{A'}$.

•

3 Identification of V with a prehomogeneous symmetric space

In the matrix algebras we have met in the previous section, the open subset of invertible matrices is homogeneous under the action of the group preserving the determinant up to a constant. Such a vector space equipped with a group action such that there exists a dense orbit is called prehomogeneous. If moreover there is an involution of the group such that the stabilizer of a point in the dense orbit is included in the set of fixed points for this involution and contains the connected component of the identity of this set, then we say that this space is symmetric.

The goal of this section is to prove that if $X \subset \mathbf{P}V$ is a k -Scorza variety, then V is a prehomogeneous symmetric space (without using the classification theorem), which provides another proof of the classification of Scorza varieties. Finally, as far as the fourth Severi variety $X \subset \mathbf{P}J_3(\mathbb{O})$ is concerned, we get that the quasi-projective variety of invertible matrices identifies with E_6/F_4 , where F_4 is the subgroup of the adjoint group E_6 preserving a non-degenerate quadratic form.

So let $X \subset \mathbf{P}V$ be a Scorza variety, and as in the previous section Q an equation of $S^{k-1}X$, G defined by $G(M) = \frac{Q(M, \dots, M, \cdot)}{Q(M)}$, I such that $Q(I) = 1$ and $*_K$ defined by $A *_K B = \frac{\partial}{\partial A|_I}[D_A G(B)]$. Since there will soon be another product, I want to put an index $*_K$ so as to avoid confusions. Let finally $\mathcal{G}$ be the subgroup of $GL(V)$ preserving $\widehat{S^{k-1}X}$.

Lemma 3.1 $V - \widehat{S^{k-1}X}$ is homogeneous under the action of $\mathcal{G}$.

Proof : To prove this result, we consider the elements $\tau_B^{-1} \circ \tau_A$ of $\mathcal{G}$. Whenever we are given two elements $U, W \in V - \widehat{S^{k-1}X}$, if there exists $A, B \in V - \widehat{S^{k-1}X}$ such that $\tau_A(U) = \tau_B(W)$, then U and W are in the same $\mathcal{G}$ -orbit. But the set of the $\tau_I^{-1} \circ \tau_A(I)$ contains an open set in V since the differential of the morphism $A \mapsto \tau_I^{-1} \circ \tau_A(I)$ is the application $A \mapsto -2A * I$, which is $-2Id$ by lemma 2.2, so is invertible. We thus get that the $\mathcal{G}$ -orbit of I is dense; since I may have been chosen to be any element such that $Q(I) = 1$, this result holds for any element U such that $Q(U) \neq 0$, and the proposition follows. •

Proposition 3.1 V is a prehomogeneous symmetric space under the action of $\mathcal{G}$.

Proof : We have just seen that it is prehomogeneous. If l is a linear form, the equation $Q(l) = 0$ is equivalent to $D_I G^{-1}(l) \in S^{k-1}X$, or $l \in S^{k-1}Y$. Let us see now that if $g \in \mathcal{G}$, then ${}^t g \in \mathcal{G}$: to this end it is enough to see that ${}^t g$ preserves $S^{k-1}Y$. Let $l \in S^{k-1}Y$.

For arbitrary A in $\mathbf{IP}V - S^{k-1}X$, there exists $B \in S^{k-1}X$ such that $l = D_A G(B)$. But ${}^t g.D_A G(B) = D_{g^{-1}A} G(g^{-1}B)$, so that ${}^t g.l \in S^{k-1}Y$.

So let now define on $\mathcal{G}$ the involution $\sigma : g \mapsto {}^t g^{-1}$. Then g is a fixed point of this involution if and only if g preserves the quadratic form $\langle A, B \rangle = -D_I G(A)(B)$.

We may then finish the proof of proposition 3.1 by

Proposition 3.2 *Let $g \in \mathcal{G}$. Let us consider the three following conditions:*

1. *g preserves the product on V , that is $(g.A) *_K (g.B) = g.(A *_K B)$.*
2. *g preserves I , $g.I = I$.*
3. *g preserves the scalar product, $\langle g.A, g.B \rangle = \langle A, B \rangle$.*

Then 1 and 2 are equivalent. Moreover, 1 implies 3 and the elements of the connected component of the identity in the set of elements satisfying 3 satisfy 1.

Remarks :

- As announced, this proposition identifies the stabilizer F_4 of I in E_6 with the group of automorphisms of the exceptional Jordan algebra $J_3(\mathbb{O})$, and also with the subgroup preserving a non-degenerate quadratic form.
- The equivalence between 1 and 2 in a Jordan algebra J is expressed by the classical fact that an element of the structural group $\text{Str}(J)$ is in $\text{Aut}(J)$ if and only if $g.I = I$, cf for instance [4], p.148.

Proof :

- If 1 holds, then $(g.I) *_K (g.B) = g.B$, so that $g.I$ is an identity. But in an algebra, there can be at most one identity, since if I and I' are identities, then $I *_K I' = I = I'$. Thus $g.I = I$ (2).
- If 2 holds, since moreover g preserves Q up to a constant, g preserves exactly Q . Since $\langle A, B \rangle = kQ(I, \dots, I, A, B) - (k+1)Q(I, \dots, I, A)Q(I, \dots, I, B)$, then $\langle g.A, g.B \rangle = \langle A, B \rangle$ (3). We are going to see that g commutes with G before deducing 1. If M and x are element of V , then $Q(g.M, \dots, g.M, x) = Q(M, \dots, M, g^{-1}x)$, so $Q(g.M, \dots, g.M, \cdot) = {}^t g^{-1}.Q(M, \dots, M, \cdot) = g.Q(M, \dots, M, \cdot)$. Dividing this equality by $Q(M)$, one deduces $G(g.M) = g.G(M)$. Differentiation yields $D_{g.A} G(g.B) = g.D_A G(B)$ and another differentiation in I gives $(g.A) *_K (g.B) = g.(A *_K B)$.

We can also give another proof, more geometric, of this implication. In fact let us consider for g satisfying (2) and $A \in \widehat{X}$ fixed the endomorphisms of V equal to $B \mapsto (g.A) *_K (g.B)$ and $B \mapsto g.(A *_K B)$. They agree projectively on X since generically they are the orthogonal projection of I on $T_{g.A} X \cap T_{g.B} X = g.(T_A X \cap T_B X)$. Moreover they vanish respectively when $g.B \in \Sigma_{(g.A)^\vee}$ and when $B \in \Sigma_{A^\vee}$ (if $x \in X$, then x' denotes the intersection point between (xI) and $S^{k-1}X$ different from

x), both conditions being equivalent because since g preserves I by hypothesis, $g.A' = (g.A)'$. By lemma 2.5, these two endomorphisms are proportionnal, and since they agree on I they are equal, and by linearity $(g.A) * (g.B) = g.(A * B)$ holds even if A is arbitrary.

- The last thing to be proved is that any element in the component of the identity in 3 satisfies 2. Any $g \in \mathcal{G}$ satisfies $Q(g.A) = \lambda Q(A)$ for some scalar λ . As before, if it satisfies 3, one deduces that $G(g.M) = g.G(M)$. For $M = I$, this yields $G(g.I) = g.I$. If $g_0 \in \mathcal{G}$ is such that $g_0.I = I$, and if g is near g_0 , since I is an isolated fixed point of G (in fact the differential in I of the application $\varphi : N \mapsto G(N) - N$ is $-3Id$), then $g.I = I$. We have shown that the set of all g such that $g.I = I$ is open in the set of g satisfying 3.

This implication has again a geometric proof: let g preserve the scalar product, meaning that for all $A \in \mathbf{IPV}$, the orthogonal hyperplane to $g.A$ is the image by g of the orthogonal hyperplane to A . Choose $A \in X$. Then the former (respectively the latter) hyperplane is by definition of the scalar product the tangent hyperplane to $S^{k-1}X$ at the intersection point $(g.A)'$ (respectively $g.A'$) of the line $(g.A, I)$ (respectively $(g.A, g.I)$) with $S^{k-1}X$. If they are equal, this implies that $(g.A)' \in \Sigma_{g.A'}$, and so that $g.I \in \langle \Sigma_{(g.A)'}, I \rangle$.

Suppose now that $g.I \neq I$ (projectively) and let $A \in X$. Then the line $(I, g.I)$ in $\langle \Sigma_{(g.A)'}, I \rangle$ cuts $\Sigma_{(g.A)'}$. Thus there exists a point in $(I, g.I) \cap \Sigma_{(g.A)'}$, thus in $(I, g.I) \cap T_{(g.A)'} S^{k-1}X \cap S^{k-1}X$. Since $(I, g.I) \cap S^{k-1}X$ is finite and since for fixed M the fact that $M \in T_{(g.A)'} S^{k-1}X$ is a closed condition upon A , there exists a fixed M which lies in every $T_{(g.A)'} S^{k-1}X = \tau_I(g.A)$, and thus in all $T_P S^{k-1}X$ (proposition 2.2), which is contradictory.

•

On such a prehomogeneous symmetric space, one can define naturally an algebra structure; let us do it following the notations of W. Bertram [1] and see that this product is nothing else than $*_K$. For the moment, I will denote by $*_B$ this new product. Let Id be the identity in $\mathcal{G}$, then $d\sigma_{Id}$ is an involution of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $\mathcal{G}$; let us denote by $\mathfrak{g}^+$ and $\mathfrak{g}^-$ the two eigenspaces associated to the eigenvalues 1 and -1. Let E denote the evaluation $g \in G \mapsto g.I$ and e its differential. The latter yields an isomorphism between $\mathfrak{g}^-$ and V since the orbit of I is open. The product on V is then given by $A *_B B = e^{-1}(A).B$. A classical result is that equipped with this product, V is Lie triple system, meaning that if A and B are two elements of V , then the commutator $[M_A, M_B]$ is a derivation of $*_B$, recalling that M_U stands for the morphism of multiplication by U (cf [1], p.44).

Let us see that $*_B = *_K$. The elements $D_A G$ of $\mathcal{G}$ are symmetric in the sense that $D_A G(B)(C) = D_A G(C)(B)$, as was shown in the preceding section. Thus they satisfy ${}^t g = g$, or $\sigma(g) = g^{-1}$. The derivative M_A of $\frac{-1}{2} D_A G$ at I in the direction A then belongs to $\mathfrak{g}$ and satisfies $d\sigma_{Id}(g) = -g$, so it is in $\mathfrak{g}^-$. Since $A *_K I = A$, $e^{-1}(A) = M_A$ and

$$A *_B B = M_A(B) = A *_K B$$

To conclude that this algebra structure is a Jordan algebra, I can use the following result ([1] p.108):

Theorem 3.3 *A semi-simple Lie triple system is a Jordan algebra.*

4 The Severi case

In the case of Severi varieties, one can show by hand a Cayley-Hamilton type relation, which implies that our algebra is power associative, meaning that the subalgebra generated by one element is associative. Let me recall that in that case Q has degree 3.

First I prove that the matrix $Q(A, A, \cdot)$ is the comatrix of A :

Lemma 4.1 $A * Q(A, A, \cdot) = Q(A)I$.

Proof : It is a known fact (cf for instance [2]) that the birational map G is an involution if we identify V and V^* . Moreover this involution sends $Sec(X)$ onto X . So $x \in Sec(X)$ if and only if $Q(x, x, \cdot) \in Sec(X)$. Then $Q[Q(A, A, \cdot)] = Q(A)^2$. Differentiating this relation twice will lead to relations which imply the lemma. A first derivation yields:

$Q[Q(A, A, \cdot), Q(A, A, \cdot), Q(A, B, \cdot)] = Q(A)Q(A, A, B)$. If U is the linear form $Q(A, B, \cdot)$, one reads the relation as $Q[Q(A, A, \cdot), Q(A, A, \cdot), U] = Q(A)\langle A, U \rangle$. But for generic A , the map $B \mapsto Q(A, B, \cdot)$ is an isomorphism (it is the case as soon as $A \notin Sec(X)$: cf [2]); we can deduce that for generic A , the previous relation holds for all U , so that

$$Q[Q(A, A, \cdot), Q(A, A, \cdot), \cdot] = Q(A)A. \quad (2)$$

Thus this relation is true for any A . Differentiating again yields

$$4Q[Q(A, A, \cdot), Q(A, B, \cdot), \cdot] = 3Q(A, A, B)A + Q(A)B. \quad (3)$$

Letting $B = I$ and taking into account (1) (p.3) we get

$$2Q[Q(A, A, \cdot), A, \cdot] = 6Q(I, I, A)Q[Q(A, A, \cdot), I, \cdot] - Q(A)I - 3Q(A, A, I)A. \quad (4)$$

Finally, computing this linear form in I , one gets

$$2Q[Q(A, A, \cdot), A, I] = 3Q(I, I, A)Q(A, A, I) - Q(A). \quad (5)$$

Now, by definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A * Q(A, A, \cdot), U \rangle &= Q[Q(A, A, \cdot), A, U] + \frac{3}{2}Q(A, A, I)\langle A, U \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{2}Q(I, I, A)Q(A, A, U) - 3Q[Q(A, A, \cdot), A, I]\langle I, U \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account relations (4) and (5), one proves then that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A * Q(A, A, \cdot), U \rangle &= 3Q[Q(A, A, \cdot), I, U]Q(I, I, A) + Q(A)\langle I, U \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{2}Q(I, I, A)Q(A, A, U) - \frac{9}{2}Q(A, A, I)Q(I, I, A)\langle U, I \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} Q[Q(A, A, .), I, U] &= Q[Q(A, A, .), I, 3Q(I, I, U)I - 2Q(I, U, .)] \\ &= \frac{3}{2}Q(A, A, I)Q(I, I, U) - \frac{1}{2}Q(A, A, U) \end{aligned}$$

applying relation (3) with $A = I$ and $B = U$.

Therefore $\langle A * Q(A, A, .), U \rangle = Q(A) \langle I, U \rangle$.

•

Now, since $Q(A - \lambda I) = Q(A) - 3Q(A, A, I)\lambda + 3Q(A, I, I)\lambda^2 - \lambda^3$ is the characteristic polynomial of A , one expects that:

Lemma 4.2 (Cayley-Hamilton) $A * A * A = 3Q(A, I, I)A^2 - 3Q(A, A, I)A + Q(A)I$

Proof : Since $A * A = Q(A, A, .) + 3Q(I, I, A)A - 3Q(I, A, A)I$, this is a consequence of the previous lemma.

•

An easy consequence of this proposition is:

Corollary 4.1 *The product is power associative.*

Proof : If I, A, A^2 are independent vectors in V , let M_A denote the matrix, expressed in this base, of the multiplication by A in the subalgebra generated by A :

$$M_A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & Q(A) \\ 1 & 0 & -3Q(A, A, I) \\ 0 & 1 & 3Q(A, I, I) \end{pmatrix}$$

If I, A and A^2 are dependent let me define M_A by the same formula. Let also A_i be the vector which can be expressed as the linear combinaison of I, A and A^2 given by the first column-vector of the matrix M_A^i . Then it is sufficient to convince oneself that any expression written only with A , the sign $*$ and brackets, equals A_i , i being the number of written A 's. If $i \leq 2$, this is trivial; for $i = 3$, this a consequence of the previous lemma; for $i = 4$, one has to show that

$$\begin{aligned} A^2 * A^2 = A * A^3 &= [9Q(A, I, I)^2 - 3Q(A, A, I)]A^2 \\ &\quad + [Q(A) - 9Q(A, I, I)Q(A, A, I)]A + 3Q(A, I, I)Q(A)I \end{aligned}$$

and it is an easy consequence of the shown relations. Lastly, for $i \geq 5$, one can argue by induction.

A consequence of this corollary and of theorem 2.15 p.52 of [1], which states that a unitary Lie triple system is a Jordan algebra if and only if it is power associative, is again that our algebra is a Jordan algebra. Moreover, lemma 4.2 shows that this algebra is of rank at most 3.

References

- [1] W. Bertram
The geometry of Jordan and Lie structures
Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1754
Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2000).
- [2] P.E. Chaput
Variétés de Severi,
Prépublication de l'Institut Fourier no 516 (2000), to appear in Mathematische Zeitschrift.
- [3] L. Ein et N. Shepherd-Barron
Some special Cremona transformations,
Amer. J. Math. **111** (1989), no. 5, 783–800.
- [4] J. Faraut and A. Koranyi
Analysis on symmetric cones
Oxford mathematical monographs
Oxford science publications (1994).
- [5] M. Koecher
The Minnesota notes on Jordan algebras and their applications
Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1710
Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1962).
- [6] K. McCrimmon
Norms and non-commutative Jordan algebras
Pacific J. Math **15**(1965) 925-956.
- [7] F. L. Zak
Tangents and Secants of Algebraic Varieties
American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (1993).

Pierre-Emmanuel CHAPUT
INSTITUT FOURIER
Laboratoire de Mathématiques
UMR5582 (UJF-CNRS)
BP 74
38402 St MARTIN D'HERES Cedex (France)

chaput@ujf-grenoble.fr